Acta Crystallographica Section C Crystal Structure Communications

ISSN 0108-2701

Bis{2,6-bis[3-(2,4,6-trimethylphenyl)pyrazol-1-yl- κN^2]pyridine- κN }cobalt(II) dinitrate at 290 and 150 K

Malcolm A. Halcrow* and Colin A. Kilner

School of Chemistry, University of Leeds, Woodhouse Lane, Leeds LS2 9JT, England Correspondence e-mail: m.a.halcrow@chem.leeds.ac.uk

Received 10 December 2002 Accepted 19 December 2002 Online 25 January 2003

The title compound, $[Co(C_{29}H_{29}N_5)_2](NO_3)_2$, contains a sixcoordinate high-spin Co^{II} ion with approximate local D_{2d} symmetry. The bond lengths and angles at cobalt undergo only small changes between the two temperatures, which confirms that the Co^{II} ion does not undergo a spin-state transition over this temperature range.

Comment

We have recently reported a synthetic and structural study of a series of complexes denoted $[Co(L^R)_2]^{2+}$ [*R* is H, ^{*i*}Pr, Ph or mesityl (Mes); Holland *et al.*, 2001]. As part of this study, we described the crystal structure of $[Co(L^{Mes})_2](ClO_4)_2$ ·-2CH₃NO₂, which we obtained at 150 K to prevent desolvation of the crystals. Unfortunately, it was not possible to interpret this structure meaningfully because, although the complex is in a high-spin form at 290 K, in the absence of variable-temperature magnetic data, it was unclear whether the Co ion had undergone a spin-state transition upon cooling (see, for example, Figgis *et al.*, 1983; Gaspar *et al.*, 2001). We now report structure determinations of the title unsolvated salt, $[Co(L^{Mes})_2](NO_3)_2$, (I), at 290 and 150 K, which are intended to address this ambiguity.

Compound (I) crystallizes in the orthorhombic space group $Pca2_1$, with one complex dication and two anions per asymmetric unit, all of which lie on general positions. The Co^{II} ion has a distorted octahedral stereochemistry with approximate D_{2d} local symmetry, which resembles those of other $[M(L^R)_2]^{2+}$ (*M* is Fe, Co, Ni, Cu or Zn) complexes that we have characterized crystallographically (Solanki *et al.*, 1998, 2001; Halcrow *et al.*, 2000; Holland *et al.*, 2001, 2002). As in these other compounds, the coordination sphere at cobalt in (I) is substantially distorted from an ideal octahedron by the restricted bite angle of the L^{Mes} ligand; the average of the four angles N2–Co1–N9, N2–Co1–N23, N36–Co1–N43 and N2–Co1–N57 is 77.09 (13)° at 150 K, compared with the ideal octahedral angle of 90°. While five of the six Co–N

bonds in (I) are identical at the two temperatures to within experimental error, the Co1–N36 bond is 0.013 (2) Å longer at 150 K than at 290 K. This cannot reflect the existence of a partial spin-state transition upon cooling, since that would give rise to a shortening of this bond at lower temperature (Figgis *et al.*, 1983; Holland *et al.*, 2001), the opposite trend to that observed. Hence, the origin of this effect is uncertain, although librational shortening of the Co–N bonds at 290 K may contribute to it. All the N–Co–N angles in the two structures are identical to within three s.u.'s, except for N9–Co1–N57, which is 0.44 (8)° larger at 150 K than at 290 K, and N23–Co1–N57, which has contracted by 0.63 (8)° in the low-temperature structure. The significance of these small differences is also unclear.



The effective magnetic moment of (I) at 290 K is 4.2 $\mu_{\rm B}$, which is identical, within experimental error, to that exhibited by $[{\rm Co}(L^{\rm Mes})_2]({\rm ClO}_4)_2$ (Holland *et al.*, 2001), and is consistent



Figure 1

A view of the molecular structure of the $[Co(L^{Mes})_2]^{2+}$ dication in (I) at 150 K, showing 35% probability displacement ellipsoids and the atomnumbering scheme employed. H atoms have been omitted for clarity.

with (I) being completely high-spin at this temperature (O'Connor, 1982). The almost identical molecular structures of (I) at 290 and 150 K also demonstrates that (I) is still in a high-spin state at 150 K. That being the case, it is interesting that all the Co-N bonds in (I) are shorter than the corresponding bonds in $[Co(L^{Mes})_2](ClO_4)_2 \cdot 2CH_3NO_2$ at 150 K, by between 0.017 (2) and 0.035 (2) Å. Despite this, the Co-N distances in (I) are within the range previously observed for high-spin Co^{II} complexes with an N₆ donor set (tabulated in Holland et al., 2001). In particular, the Co-N(pyridine) bond lengths, which are the most sensitive to the spin state of a Co^{II} ion in this type of complex (Figgis et al., 1983), are within the range expected from a high-spin Co^{II} compound. Hence, this study emphasizes that the coordination sphere in six-coordinate high-spin CoII complexes is rather plastic, and that structural data can only be related to the spin state in these compounds with care (Holland et al., 2001).

Experimental

A mixture of Co(NO₃)₂·6H₂O (0.16 g, 0.55 mmol) and L^{Mes} (0.50 g, 1.11 mmol) in MeCN (50 ml) was stirred at 323 K until all the solid had dissolved. The resultant orange solution was filtered and then concentrated in vacuo until orange-yellow microcrystals began to form. After overnight storage of the mixture at 243 K, the product was filtered off, washed with Et₂O and dried in vacuo. Diffusion of Et₂O vapour into a dilute MeCN solution of the sparingly soluble complex yielded small strongly diffracting rectangular prisms of (I). The same crystal was used for data collection at both 290 and 150 K.

Compound (I) at 290 K

Crystal data

$[Co(C_{29}H_{29}N_5)_2](NO_3)_2$
$M_r = 1078.09$
Orthorhombic, Pca2 ₁
a = 22.3350(1) Å
b = 10.9094 (2) Å
c = 22.1061 (2) Å
V = 5386.40 (11) Å ³
Z = 4
$D_{\rm x} = 1.329 {\rm Mg} {\rm m}^{-3}$

Data collection

Nonius KappaCCD area-detector diffractometer Area-detector scans Absorption correction: multi-scan (SORTAV; Blessing, 1995) $T_{\rm min}=0.847,\ T_{\rm max}=0.901$ 59 607 measured reflections

Refinement

Refinement on F^2 $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.037$ $wR(F^2) = 0.104$ S = 1.0411 975 reflections 721 parameters H-atom parameters constrained $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0610P)^2]$ + 0.6652P] where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$

Mo $K\alpha$ radiation Cell parameters from 59 607 reflections $\theta=2.6{-}27.5^\circ$ $\mu = 0.38 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 290 (2) KRectangular prism, orange $0.45 \times 0.35 \times 0.28 \text{ mm}$

11 975 independent reflections	
10 665 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$	<u>(</u>)
$R_{\rm int} = 0.046$	
$\theta_{\rm max} = 27.5^{\circ}$	
$h = -29 \rightarrow 27$	
$k = -12 \rightarrow 14$	
$l = -28 \rightarrow 28$	

$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.001$
$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.26 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.28 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$
Extinction correction: SHELXL97
(Sheldrick, 1997)
Extinction coefficient: 0.0083 (8)
Absolute structure: Flack (1983),
with 5661 Friedel pairs
Flack parameter = -0.004 (9)

Table 1

Selected geometric parameters (Å, °) for (I) at 290 K.

Co1-N2	2.0132 (18)	Co1-N36	2.0077 (17)
Co1-N9	2.1190 (15)	Co1-N43	2.1327 (17)
Co1-N23	2.1368 (17)	Co1-N57	2.1581 (16)
N2 Co1 N9	77 42 (7)	N9 Co1 N57	93 14 (6)
$N_2 = C_0 (1 - N_2)^2$	77.03(7)	$N^{2} = C_{01} = N^{3}$	102.20(7)
N2-Co1-N36	179.08 (7)	N23-Co1-N43	93.34 (6)
N2-Co1-N43	102.21 (7)	N23-Co1-N57	92.54 (6)
N2-Co1-N57	103.69 (7)	N36-Co1-N43	77.29 (7)
N9-Co1-N36	103.34 (7)	N36-Co1-N57	76.81 (6)
N9-Co1-N23	154.45 (7)	N43-Co1-N57	154.10 (6)
N9-Co1-N43	92.04 (7)		

Compound (I) at 150 K

Crystal data

$[Co(C_{29}H_{29}N_5)_2](NO_3)_2$	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
$M_r = 1078.09$	Cell parameters from 86 43
Orthorhombic, Pca2 ₁	reflections
a = 22.1974 (2) Å	$\theta = 2.6-27.5^{\circ}$
b = 10.7761 (1) Å	$\mu = 0.39 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
c = 22.0365(1) Å	T = 150 (2) K
V = 5271.16 (7) Å ³	Rectangular prism, orange
Z = 4	$0.45 \times 0.35 \times 0.28 \text{ mm}$
$D_x = 1.358 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$	

435

Data collection

Nonius KappaCCD area-detector	11 941 independent reflections
diffractometer	11 339 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
Area-detector scans	$R_{\rm int} = 0.052$
Absorption correction: multi-scan	$\theta_{\rm max} = 27.5^{\circ}$
(SORTAV; Blessing, 1995)	$h = -28 \rightarrow 28$
$T_{\min} = 0.844, \ T_{\max} = 0.899$	$k = -13 \rightarrow 13$
86 435 measured reflections	$l = -27 \rightarrow 28$

Refinement

Refinement on F^2	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.001$
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.037$	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.68 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$
$wR(F^2) = 0.099$	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.35 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
S = 1.06	Extinction correction: SHELXL97
11 941 reflections	(Sheldrick, 1997)
720 parameters	Extinction coefficient: 0.0124 (9)
H-atom parameters constrained	Absolute structure: Flack (1983),
$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0591P)^2]$	with 5773 Friedel pairs
+ 1.4056P]	Flack parameter $= 0.001$ (8)
where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$	

At both temperatures, one of the two nitrate ions was disordered and was modelled over three orientations. At 290 K, these were refined with occupancies of 0.40, 0.30 and 0.30, the latter two orien-

Table 2

Selected geometric parameters (Å, °) for (I) at 150 K.

Co1-N2	2.0199 (17)	Co1-N36	2.0206 (16)
Co1-N9	2.1177 (15)	Co1-N43	2.1279 (16)
Co1-N23	2.1320 (17)	Co1-N57	2.1538 (16)
N2-Co1-N9	77.41 (7)	N9-Co1-N57	93.88 (6)
N2-Co1-N23	76.99 (6)	N23-Co1-N36	102.09 (6)
N2-Co1-N36	179.02 (7)	N23-Co1-N43	93.63 (6)
N2-Co1-N43	102.41 (6)	N23-Co1-N57	91.91 (6)
N2-Co1-N57	103.68 (6)	N36-Co1-N43	77.27 (6)
N9-Co1-N23	154.39 (6)	N36-Co1-N57	76.64 (6)
N9-Co1-N36	103.52 (7)	N43-Co1-N57	153.91 (6)
N9-Co1-N43	92.04 (6)		

tations sharing a common N atom. At 150 K, three distinct partial NO_3^- moieties were detected, with occupancies of 0.40, 0.40 and 0.20. The N-O distances within the disordered anion at 290 K were restrained to 1.25 (1) Å, and non-bonded $O \cdots O$ distances within a given disorder orientation were restrained to 2.17 (1) Å. At 150 K, corresponding restraints of 1.26 (1) and 2.18 (1) Å, respectively, were applied. At both temperatures, all non-H atoms with occupancies of greater than 0.5 were refined anisotropically, and all H atoms were placed in calculated positions and refined using a riding model. The torsion angles of all the CH₃ groups in the molecule were allowed to refine freely except that, at both temperatures, antibumping restraints were applied to individual H atoms attached to atoms C21 and C53, and C35 and C55. Even with these restraints, there is one abnormally short intermolecular $H \cdots H$ contact, *viz*. H11 \cdots H35 C^{i} of 2.06–2.10 Å [symmetry code: (i) x, y - 1, z]. Introduction of additional antibumping restraints between H11 and the C35¹ methyl group prevented the refinements from converging, so these were omitted from the final models. However, for this reason, the refined methylgroup torsion angles on C35 at the two temperatures probably do not correspond to the 'true' equilibrium positions. The fixed C-H distances were as follows: at 290 K, C-H(aryl) = 0.93 Å and C- $H(methyl) = 0.96 \text{ Å}; \text{ at } 150 \text{ K}, \text{ C}-H(aryl) = 0.95 \text{ Å } \text{ and } \text{ C}-H(aryl) = 0.95 \text{ Å } \text{ and } \text{ C}-H(aryl) = 0.95 \text{ Å } \text{ and } \text{ C}-H(aryl) = 0.95 \text{ Å } \text{ and } \text{ C}-H(aryl) = 0.95 \text{ Å } \text{ and } \text{ C}-H(aryl) = 0.95 \text{ Å } \text{ and } \text{ C}-H(aryl) = 0.95 \text{ Å } \text{ and } \text{ C}-H(aryl) = 0.95 \text{ Å } \text{ and } \text{ C}-H(aryl) = 0.95 \text{ Å } \text{ and } \text{ C}-H(aryl) = 0.95 \text{ Å } \text{ and } \text{ C}-H(aryl) = 0.95 \text{ Å } \text{ and } \text{ C}-H(aryl) = 0.95 \text{ Å } \text{ and } \text{ C}-H(aryl) = 0.95 \text{ Å } \text{ and } \text{ C}-H(aryl) = 0.95 \text{ Å } \text{ and } \text{ C}-H(aryl) = 0.95 \text{ Å } \text{ and } \text{ C}-H(aryl) = 0.95 \text{ Å } \text{ and } \text{ C}-H(aryl) = 0.95 \text{ Å } \text{ and } \text{ C}-H(aryl) = 0.95 \text{ Å } \text{ and } \text{ C}-H(aryl) = 0.95 \text{ Å } \text{ and } \text{ C}-H(aryl) = 0.95 \text{ Å } \text{ and } \text{ C}-H(aryl) = 0.95 \text{ Å } \text{ and } \text{ C}-H(aryl) = 0.95 \text{ Å } \text{ and } \text{ C}-H(aryl) = 0.95 \text{ Å } \text{ and } \text{ C}-H(aryl) = 0.95 \text{ Å } \text{ and } \text{ C}-H(aryl) = 0.95 \text{ Å } \text{ and } \text{ C}-H(aryl) = 0.95 \text{ Å } \text{ and } \text{ C}-H(aryl) = 0.95 \text{ Å } \text{ and } \text{ C}-H(aryl) = 0.95 \text{ Å } \text{ and } \text{ C}-H(aryl) = 0.95 \text{ Å } \text{ and } \text{ C}-H(aryl) = 0.95 \text{ Å } \text{ and } \text{ C}-H(aryl) = 0.95 \text{ Å } \text{ and } \text{ C}-H(aryl) = 0.95 \text{ Å } \text{ and } \text{ C}-H(aryl) = 0.95 \text{ Å } \text{ and } \text{ C}-H(aryl) = 0.95 \text{ Å } \text{ and } \text{ C}-H(aryl) = 0.95 \text{ Å } \text{ and } \text{ C}-H(aryl) = 0.95 \text{ Å } \text{ and } \text{ C}-H(aryl) = 0.95 \text{ Å } \text{ and } \text{ C}-H(aryl) = 0.95 \text{ Å } \text{ and } \text{ C}-H(aryl) = 0.95 \text{ Å } \text{ and } \text{ C}-H(aryl) = 0.95 \text{ Å } \text{ and } \text{ C}-H(aryl) = 0.95 \text{ Å } \text{ and } \text{ C}-H(aryl) = 0.95 \text{ Å } \text{ and } \text{ C}-H(aryl) = 0.95 \text{ Å } \text{ and } \text{ C}-H(aryl) = 0.95 \text{ Å } \text{ and } \text{ C}-H(aryl) = 0.95 \text{ A} \text{ and } \text{ C}-H(aryl) = 0.95 \text{ A} \text{ and } \text{ C}-H(aryl) = 0.95 \text{ A} \text{ and } \text{ C}-H(aryl) = 0.95 \text{ A} \text{ and } \text{ C}-H(aryl) = 0.95 \text{ A} \text{ and } \text{ C}-H(aryl) = 0.95 \text{ A} \text{ and } \text{ C}-H(aryl) = 0.95 \text{ A} \text{ and } \text{ C}-H(aryl) = 0.95 \text{ A} \text{ and } \text{ C}-H(aryl) = 0.95 \text{ A} \text{ and } \text{ A$ H(methyl) = 0.98 Å. At both temperatures, the H-atom U_{iso} parameters were fixed at $1.2U_{eq}(C)$ for C-H(aryl), and $1.5U_{eq}(C)$ for C-H(methyl).

For both compounds, data collection: *COLLECT* (Nonius, 1999); cell refinement: *DENZO–SMN* (Otwinowski & Minor, 1997); data reduction: *DENZO–SMN*; program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS*97 (Sheldrick, 1990); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL*97 (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: *ORTEX* (McArdle, 1995); software used to prepare material for publication: local program.

The authors acknowledge the Royal Society of London for a University Research Fellowship to MAH and the EPSRC for the purchase of a diffractometer.

Supplementary data for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: BM1518). Services for accessing these data are described at the back of the journal.

References

- Blessing, R. H. (1995). Acta Cryst. A51, 33-38.
- Figgis, B. N., Kucharski, E. S. & White, A. H. (1983). Aust. J. Chem. 36, 1537– 1561.
- Flack, H. D. (1983). Acta Cryst. A39, 876-881.
- Gaspar, A. B., Muñoz, M. C., Niel, V. & Real, J. A. (2001). *Inorg. Chem.* 40, 9–10.
- Halcrow, M. A., Kilner, C. A. & Thornton-Pett, M. (2000). Acta Cryst. C56, 1425–1426.
- Holland, J. M., Barrett, S. A., Kilner, C. A. & Halcrow, M. A. (2002). *Inorg. Chem. Commun.* 5, 328–332.
- Holland, J. M., Kilner, C. A., Thornton-Pett, M. & Halcrow, M. A. (2001). *Polyhedron*, **20**, 2829–2840.
- McArdle, P. (1995). J. Appl. Cryst. 28, 65.
- Nonius (1999). COLLECT. Nonius BV, Delft, The Netherlands.
- O'Connor, C. J. (1982). Prog. Inorg. Chem. 29, 203–283.
- Otwinowski, Z. & Minor, W. (1997). Methods in Enzymology, Vol. 276, Macromolecular Crystallography, Part A, edited by C. W. Carter Jr & R. M. Sweet, pp. 307–326. New York: Academic Press.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1990). Acta Cryst. A46, 467-473.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1997). SHELXL97. University of Göttingen.
- Solanki, N. K., Leech, M. A., McInnes, E. J. L., Zhao, J. P., Mabbs, F. E., Feeder, N., Howard, J. A. K., Davies, J. E., Rawson, J. M. & Halcrow, M. A. (2001). J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. pp. 2083–2088.
- Solanki, N. K., McInnes, E. J. L., Mabbs, F. E., Radojevic, S., McPartlin, M., Feeder, N., Davies, J. E. & Halcrow, M. A. (1998). *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 37, 2221–2223.